



SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

ADOPTED MINUTES

Regular Meeting

July 5, 2006

4:00 p.m.

The Board of Trustees of the San Luis Obispo County Community College District met in Regular Session in Room 5401, Conference Center in the Cuesta College Student Center, Cuesta College San Luis Obispo Campus, San Luis Obispo, California, members having received notice as prescribed by law.

1. ***Call to Order*** The meeting was called to order by Trustee Mitchell at 2:45 p.m.

2. ***Roll Call*** Board Members Present
Ms. Angela Mitchell, President
Mr. Patrick W. Mullen, Vice President
Mrs. Gaye L. Galvan
Mrs. Marie Kiersch
Mr. Per C. Mathiesen

3. ***Approval of Agenda*** Changes to the Agenda were: 1) Move Item M.1. to Item O.8; 2) Note that under L. Communications there are items received after board packets had been distributed; 3) Notice that the action items were mis-numbered but have been corrected; 4) Under the Consent agenda a title error was found and changed from Director, Workforce and Economic Programs to Director, Workforce and Economic Development Programs; Item O.2. was moved to Discussion/Action which was to follow after Item 0.10. Refunding of Certificates of Participation (COPS). The agenda was approved as changed prior to Closed Session.

Moved: Kiersch/Seconded: Galvan—Passed unanimously by those members present to approve the Agenda, as presented.

4. ***Recess to Closed Session*** The Board recessed to Closed Session at 2:55 p.m.

5. ***Reconvene in Open Session & Pledge of Allegiance*** The Board reconvened in Open Session at 4:15 p.m. Trustee Mitchell led the Pledge of Allegiance.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

Ms. Angela Mitchell, President
Mr. Patrick W. Mullen, Vice President
Mrs. Gaye L. Galvan
Mrs. Marie Kiersch
Mr. Per C. Mathiesen
Mr. Bart Gant, Student Trustee (Advisory Vote)

MANAGEMENT PRESENT

Dr. Marie E. Rosenwasser
Mr. Dan Chacón
Mr. Ed Maduli
Mr. Harry Schade
Ms. Sandee McLaughlin
Ms. Roanna Bennie
Ms. Anna Davies
Ms. Toni Sommer

VISITORS PRESENT

Annette Loria	Ric Deschler
Dean Gilligan	Berna Dallons
June Stephens	Pete Sysak
Clint Weirick	Valerie Endres
Leland Endres	Peter Dill
Haida Simpson	Lois Cleworth
Gene Tripp	Jack Sullivan
Alve Appel	Al Brill
Lee Rosenwasser	Maryanne Zarycka
Darlene Sawyer	MJ Basti
Judy Rittmiller	Ilene French
John Fetcho	Donna Pettit
Michael Donahue	Allison Merzon
Daniel Deutsche	Dennis Baeyer
Lisa Allardja	Peggy Hudson
Larry Versaw	Kevin Bontenbal
Katchy Andrews	Pete Pedroni
Laurie Sinton	Terry Reece
Mary Parker	Ruth Kirstatter
Hedy Carra	Kim Volk
Delfina Medina-Maloney	Jay Chambers
Patricia Golden	Matthew Green
John Laferriere	Bradford Anderson

6. ***ANNOUNCEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION***

***Classified/
Management
Personnel***
(Attachment A)

CLASSIFIED/MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL

Moved: Mullin/**Seconded:** Galvan—**Passed** unanimously by those members present to take the following action pertaining to Classified/Management personnel:

Appointments, Classified/Management

- a. Short-term, Hourly Employees
- b. Computer Services Technician, Computer Services (2 positions)
- c. Supervisor, Payroll, Fiscal Services
- d. Supervisor, Grounds, Maintenance, Operations and Grounds
- e. Groundskeeper, Maintenance, Operations and Grounds (2 positions)

Change in Status

- a. Division/Department Assistant, Academic Support/DSPS
- b. Supervisor, Children's Center
- c. Secretary III, Institutional Advancement
- d. Division/Department Assistant, Student Life and Leadership
- e. Clerical Assistant III, Academic Support/DSPS
- f. Division/Department Assistant, Human Development

Leave of Absence Without Pay

- a. Children's Center Instructional Assistant, Children's Center

Resignations

- a. Executive Assistant, Superintendent/President and Board of Trustees

Retirement

- a. Multimedia Electronics Technician, Learning Resources
- b. Division/Department Assistant, Physical Education/Athletics

Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release

**Academic
Personnel**
(Attachment B)

ACADEMIC PERSONNEL

Moved: Mathiesen/**Seconded:** Galvan—**Passed** unanimously by those members present to take the following action pertaining to Academic personnel:

Appointments, Classified/Management

- a. Summer Session Assignments 2006 & Part-Time Temporary Assignments 2006-07
- b. Recommendations for First, Second, and Third Year Contracts – 2006-07

Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release

**Labor
Negotiations/
Terms &
Conditions**

LABOR NEGOTIATIONS AND TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR REPRESENTED AND UNREPRESENTED EMPLOYEES

Instructions were given to the Superintendent/President regarding labor negotiations and terms and conditions of employment for represented and unrepresented employees. No action was taken.

7. **REPORTS FROM EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATIONS**

Academic Senate Ms. Allison Merzon stated the most important thing is her son's birthday on Saturday. She reported that many faculty are here prepping for Fall and the Program Review Process regarding the measures for accreditation and how to proceed. She also reported to the Board the plan to update mentoring strategies for full and part-time faculty and that work has begun on the faculty retreat, with hopes of bringing it back in Spring 2007. She has also updated the Academic Senate roster and will distribute copies.

CCFT Ms. Marilyn Rossa gave no formal report about organization, but reported she would speak during public comment of the bond election.

CCCUE Mr. John Fetcho referenced the comprehensive settlement recently proposed by CCCUE. He encouraged the Board to support it, stating the settlement was fair and reasonable. He stated the CCCUE would encourage ratification of the settlement. He added he believed this proposal could bring an end to the cycle of marathon negotiations. He thanked the Board in advance, and would appreciate their support.

**Management
Senate** No report.

8. **REPORTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS**

Trustee Kiersch No report.

**Trustee
Mathiesen** No report.

- Trustee Galvan** Inquired as to which team won world cup soccer, Portugal or France?
- Trustee Mullen** Thanked visitors for turning out. He stated his interest in knowing why the bond campaign was not a success. He added that the State passed its budget, and that equalization funds may help Cuesta College
- Trustee Mitchell** Said she would save her comments for public comment on the bond measure.
- Student Trustee Gant** Reported his return from surfing in Mexico. He is still recruiting, including for a Coordinator for ASCC, so when the new year comes, Student Life and Leadership will have staff. He will also be attending an upcoming Student Trustee Conference in San Jose.

9. **Report from Superintendent/President**
(Attachment C)

FOUNDATION

1. The Cuesta College Foundation has received gifts in cash, pledges, real and personal property, and non-cash gifts that supplant cash totaling \$243,389.69 during the period of May 2006.

COMMENDATIONS

1. **The June 2 President's Recognition Luncheon at the Pelican Point in Pismo Beach was an outstanding success!** Special commendations to June Stephens, Jill Huber, Elaine Coates, Shelly Ulianich, and Stephan Gunsaulus who organized the event. Major donors and representatives of organizations that donate funds to the Cuesta College Foundation were the featured guests, who were hosted by the Foundation Board of Directors, Board of Trustees, and college administrators and managers.
2. **The Construction Team from Cuesta College represented the State of California at the Skills USA national Competition last week in Kansas City.** The guys brought home BRONZE. This is the first time Cuesta has ever had a team from construction. Interesting enough, California came in last same time last year--so Cuesta did well!!!!
3. **Director of Community Programs and Contract Education Karen Tacket and her staff are conducting an energetic schedule of Community Programs this summer.** The "College for Kids" program that is taking place on the SLO and North County Campuses has, to date, 334 children ages 9 to 14 enrolled. There will be a second session to begin July 10. Fourth Grade Camp is a week-long camp for students entering the fourth grade in the fall. This camp will run July 31 – August 3. So far, 35 students have signed up with more coming in daily. The Family Fun Swim program is operational Friday evenings from 6:30 – 8:30 p.m. and Saturdays from Noon – 4:00 p.m. It is scheduled to run until July 8, at which time it will be determined whether the program should be extended for an additional 5 weeks. During the weekend of June 10th there were 97 participants and during the weekend of June 17th, there were 152 participants. Congratulations to Director Tacket and the Community Programs staff for this vigorous schedule of activities.
4. **Nursing and Allied Health reports that the newly formed Licensed Vocational Nurse program began on May 22, 2006 with a full classroom of 30 students.** The one-year program consists of evening classes and clinical hands-on training six days a week, with the goals of easing a county-wide shortage of LVNs and

providing new opportunities for local healthcare professionals. The first class will graduate in May 2007. Compass Health, which provides long-term care and owns several local facilities, donated \$156,000 to help with the curriculum development and start-up cost to support the program. Congratulations to Dean Anna Davies, Director Mary Parker, the Nursing faculty and the staff for such quick action on the LVN Program, and special appreciation to Compass Health for the \$156,000 grant which has made it possible to start the LVN Program.

5. **Engineering and Tech Instructor Rob Thoresen has just been officially informed that Cuesta College will host the state finals in Welding for high schools in Spring 2007.** His proactive efforts for obtaining positive exposure for our programs prove to be successful and we congratulate him on his continuing hard work with SKILLSUSA, and the program.

EVENTS

July

- July 4 – Campus Closed for Independence Day observance
- July 27 – Summer session ends

August

- August 8 – Scholarship Awards Reception 10:00 a.m. - Noon
- August 14 – 17 Fall Walk-In Registration 8:00 a.m. – 7:00 p.m.
- August 18 - Opening Day Breakfast & Program – 7:30 a.m. in Room 5401

Dr. Rosenwasser introduced new staff to the Board - Annette Loria, Director of Human Resources, and Kathryn Higgins, Interim Media Coordinator.

10. **Communications**
(Attachment D)

The following list of communications was received after the Board packets were sent out:

- “Certification, Summary Report and Statement of Vote Pertaining to Measure G”, from Julie Rodewald, Office of the County Clerk-Recorder.
- San Luis Obispo County Activity Schedule for Placing a Measure on the November 2006 ballot.
- Letter from Mayor Pro Tem Jim Guthrie concerning placing bond measure on November 2006 ballot.
- Letter from Central Coast Dental Society regarding the Cuesta College Dental Hygiene Program.
- Letter from Michael Clark regarding Measure G.
- Letter from Ric Deschler regarding Measure G.
- Letter from Superintendent/President Jose Ortiz, Allan Hancock College to invite Cuesta College and Santa Barbara City College Superintendent/Presidents and Board members to a joint board meeting.

Trustee Mathiesen stated he received an email commenting that Cuesta College’s dental hygiene program was not needed. He also said he had a letter in the *The Tribune*, and spoke with his dentist. He stated the Central Coast Dental Society letter affirmed the program is needed, and expressed his desire to further discuss the program.

11. **Reports**

None.

12. *Hearing of the Public* **Mr. Michael Donohue** said he wrote the letter in *The Tribune* about the dental hygiene program. He suggested the Board go online to the State Employment Development Department (EDD) website to see how many full-time positions there are for projected positions. He stated he has been partially unemployed for 18 months, as are colleagues who have been unemployed for longer in the dentistry field. He explained that a huge pool of labor means lower pay. Dentists would rather see oversupply of dental hygienists. He stated the EDD data is accurate. His group feels that the program should be postponed until the number of jobs equals the number of graduates.
- Dr. Mary Parker**, Director of Nursing/Allied Health, stated she had spoken with Michael Donohue previously. She stated the information he gave is not the same information Cuesta had collected. She added that the College would not be using Nursing funds for a Dental Hygiene program, nor would the Dental Hygiene program be giving money for Nursing.

BUSINESS AGENDA ACTION ITEMS

13. *Approval of the 2008-2012 Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan/District's Order of Priority and IPPs and FPPs*
(Attachment E) **Moved: Galvan/Seconded: Mullen—Passed** unanimously by those members present to approve the 2008-2012 Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan/District's Order of Priority and Initial Project Proposals (IPPs) and Final Project Proposals (FPPs), as presented.
- Vice President Maduli reported Proposals 1 - 5 are already funded. Trustee Mullen requested clarification of funding on eight priority facilities. Vice President Maduli clarified the reconstruct science labs as a separate plan. He said the College has taken bids. Proposals 1 – 5 are partially funded by the state, and that the Board would be taking action on Proposals 3 and 4 tonight.
14. *Approval of Bids for the Reconstruction of Two Science Buildings*
(Attachment F) **Moved: Galvan/Seconded: Matheisen—Passed** unanimously by those members present to approve the Bids for the Reconstruction of Two Science Buildings, as presented.
- Vice President Maduli reported that the bid was awarded to Wysong Construction. The company met all the requirements, and turned in all the needed paperwork.
15. *Bids for the North County Campus Learning Resource Center*
(Attachment G) **Moved: Mathiesen/Seconded: Kiersch—Passed** unanimously by those members present to reject the Bids for the North County Campus Learning Resource Center, as presented.
- Vice President Maduli explained that it was the second time for opening bids to the North County Learning Resource Center. The second bids came in \$5.1 Million over state funding. He explained construction prices have gone up again. He proposed rejection of all bids for the NCC LLRC. The proposed bid would be 40 % over the allocated state bond funding. The State cannot take action on this project until the Board submits a decision. He also informed the Board that the state bond monies will be lost for 2006-07 but the District will resubmit the LLRC for a future state bond measure.

16. ***Resolution and Authorization to Enter into Agreement with Public Agency Law Group***
(Attachment H)
- Moved: Galvan/Seconded: Mathiesen—Passed** unanimously by those members present to approve the Resolution and Authorization to Enter into Agreement with Public Agency Law Group, as presented. A roll call vote was unanimous.
- Vice President Maduli requested the services of Public Agency Law Group (PAL) to assist the college in verification of change orders and to ensure the change orders are true to form. Trustee Mullen inquired as to the cost of services. Vice President Maduli responded that cost of services would be minimum compared to the increasing impact of change orders.
17. ***Memoranda of Agreement (MOA) with Allan Hancock College***
(Attachment I)
- Moved: Mathiesen/Seconded: Mullen—Passed** unanimously by those members present to approve the Memoranda of Agreement (MOA) with Allan Hancock College, as presented.
- Vice President Schade reported that a resolution had previously been provided to Allan Hancock College for a partnership in Fire Science, and Cosmetology. Cuesta College will now be able to begin collecting apportionment by delivering Construction Technology courses in Allen Hancock College District, and eventually offer Police Officer Standards Training (P.O.S.T.) classes as curriculum is refined.
- Trustee Mullen noted that Cuesta College had been sharing resources in P.O.S.T., Fire Academy, and Cosmetology. Vice President Schade agreed and added that South County residents could choose to now go to their area and learn Construction Technology. He stated it is a regional approach to leverage resources and opportunities.
18. ***Fringe Benefits – Executives, Managers, Supervisors, Confidential designated positions***
(Attachment J)
- Moved: Galvan/Seconded: Kiersch—Passed** unanimously by those members present to approve the Fringe Benefits – Executives, Managers, Supervisors, Confidential designated positions, as presented.
- Executive Director of Human Resources & Labor Relations Counsel Bradford Anderson provided an update of Policy 2051 to the Board which changed fringe benefits, retroactive to April 2006 and spelled out monthly allotments for two-party and family subscribers. He advised that there is a use-it-or-lose-it provision for employees hired after July 1, 2006, and if an enhancement is received, it must be used only for the purposes outlined.
19. ***Approval of Request for Leave of Absence***
- This agenda item was removed from Action Items before Open Session and addressed in Closed Session.**

<p>BUSINESS AGENDA</p> <p>DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS</p>

20. ***Analysis of Measure G Campaign and Election Results***
(Attachment K)
- A discussion of the timeline by County Clerk's office for submittal of bond into November ballot was discussed. No action was taken.**
- Mr. Bill Berry, owner of William Berry Campaigns, addressed the Board and public by expressing his appreciation for the opportunity to speak. He thanked Mr. Peter Dill for yelling when he walked into the room: "There's the guy who lost the bond!" He reminded the Board that his firm has won 13 college bond campaigns and that the loss of Cuesta's Measure G was a hard loss for his firm as well as Cuesta. He declared that responsibility for the campaign lies on his shoulders and that he had never worked with

a college as prepared or with people as hardworking as the leaders of Cuesta College and praised Dr. Rosenwasser, Ed Maduli, June Stephens, the Foundation Board and Friends of Cuesta College (FOCC), and the Board of Trustees. He stated he dreams of clients like Cuesta. He said he observed many folks such as the Cuesta College Foundation Board who went above and beyond. He affirmed that the FOCC and Foundation did what they were supposed to do, and the Board of Trustees did what they were supposed to do to pass bond.

According to Mr. Berry, June Stephens, Ed Maduli, and Dr. Rosenwasser worked harder, longer, and smarter than any client he'd ever worked with. They did what he thought was the smart thing, to use an expert's advice and opinion. He said they followed his advice to a "T". He noted that they had two fulltime jobs for most of the year, keeping the College running, and campaigning after hours. He said he could not have asked more from the Foundation and district leaders.

That said, he offered these reasons for failure, aspects out of the control of the college. Regarding voter turnout, he suggested a low turnout at the polls, 50,000 less voted than in November 2004 and our supporters didn't go to polls. He also said the biggest failure and the overriding reason was the size of the bond got the focus and not the tax rate or what the community would get for the bond dollars. He explained that both voter opinion polls showed the maximum property tax of \$25 per \$100,000 was not too much; in fact, the voter polls showed higher support for going for the maximum dollars at the legal tax rate.

Mr. Berry suggested that the size of the bond being labeled the largest ever discouraged the voters. He said his company tried as hard as they could to address bond size but couldn't turn the focus. In the end, he attributed failure to the focus on dollar amount, not what would be received. He said he attempted to get people to focus on the tax rate, to alleviate sticker shock. He offered his third and final rationale: reasons out of the control by the college. The voters in California were not in favor of bonds in June, 40% lost versus 15% in other elections.

He reported there was no parcel tax that passed. Sierra College lost their third bond measure in a four year time span. His findings were that while all the measures in the bay area passed, demographics are different on the central coast. In review, he said there were probably a million things he would do differently, but the message sent, and how the College did, was successful. He suggested the College change the "broad picture" things, and reassured the Board not to point to infrastructure. If there is blame to point at, Mr. Berry said, it sits squarely at him. He said he came down every other week and met with administrative leadership and community members. He expressed his personal regrets for the outcome of the election, but was not sorry about the people he got to meet and work with at Cuesta. He concluded that any negative feelings are overshadowed by the people he worked with.

Trustee Galvan inquired about three pieces of information that were sent to absentee voters. She stated she has been an absentee voter for years, but never received anything in the mail. Mr. Berry responded that two informational pieces and one campaign piece went out to absentee voters. He tried to time the pieces to coincide when ballots were to be mailed, but said that some may have fallen through the cracks.

Trustee Mullen said that although he appreciated Mr. Berry's willingness to accept responsibility, the Board of Trustees share part of the responsibility. Regarding polls that were done in other campaigns, he inquired if there is a discount factor that should be applied to polls in the future.

Mr. Berry responded to say William Berry Campaigns did put a discount factor in, per Dr. Rosenwasser's request. He interpreted the polls not as predictions, but as a snapshot of how voters feel as of that day. Not as a road map of how to proceed. He suggested a 1994 Republican resolution showed polling had Democrats and Republicans within 4 to 5 points of each other. The result was that the nation swung Republican. Post analysis showed more people in 1994 under the age of 50 did not go to the polls.

He said although he thought based upon the voting history, the sample size was correct, he didn't take into account enough an historic look or whether there would be a problem with turnout of the strongest supporters. He noted that the three community colleges that had bond measures on the ballot and lost the vote all had polling results over 70% and that Allan Hancock, which won by 56%, had a poll result of 71%. He also observed that a Los Angeles Times article speculated that the increased price of gas and the lack of confidence in California's economy were part of what happened in the election.

Dr. Rosenwasser thanked Mr. Berry for coming. She said that she appreciated the fact that he accepted responsibility and recognized groups that worked hard. Mr. Berry commented that there were six dates and times set up in August and September, eight or nine town hall meetings, two campus town hall meetings, and June Stephens of the Foundation held at least two meetings on how staff could be involved. He recalled ten to twelve meetings scheduled with faculty and/or staff.

Director of Academic Support/DSPS Patrick Schwab gave a statistical analysis of the election results, requested by Dr. Rosenwasser. He provided some background to his expertise. Although he is fairly new to this area, he has done this type of analysis in Oregon for about 25 years. In review of this election and statistics, he asked the Board to consider if this was a high turnout or not. He suggested not comparing presidential election years, but similar primary elections. In his assessment, he stated the turnout was identical to previous primary elections within this district. He thought this election was not unique or a special situation. A total of 65,900 votes were cast, which was 43.1% of the voters. It was the same as the June 2002 Primary, with a 6,000 vote difference. Although that seems like a lot, it is not that many votes.

Dr. Schwab stated that if the Districts decides to run the bond in the November election, it would have to attract 20,000 more voters to win. The odds for that are not impossible; the November election may have more voters. Across the SLOCCC District, Dr. Schwab reported, at no point did we get 55%, but San Luis Obispo was 54%. One of the things to point out, he said, was there were a couple precincts that we did walk – two in Atascadero, one in South San Luis Obispo. In his opinion, the value in future campaigns is less emphasis on phone banking, more on walking the district. In other analysis, there is the Allan Hancock (AHC) comparison. He stated people don't realize how small AHC is compared to Cuesta College. In fact, if we compared our "yes" votes to AHC, we would have won.

Trustee Mullen suggested a review of how bonds tend to do in primary versus general elections in this county, historically would be useful. Trustee Galvan said that the areas of Santa Barbara County that AHC is located are conservative, traditional, and Republican.

Dr. Schwab stated that of the 17 consolidated precincts the bond passed in, 15 were majority Democrat precincts in this county. He speculated that the difference at Allan Hancock College may have been the enthusiastic supporters who actually got folks out

to talk to people. Trustee Galvan noted that there was also voter calling on election day by the Allan Hancock volunteers, which Cuesta didn't do. Dr. Schwab responded that the plan was very effective.

Mr. Ric Deschler is a Cuesta College Emeritus Classified staff and graduate. He expressed that his disappointment with Measure G was that it was so large when placed on the ballot, not that it failed. He stated when he talked with different people about how large it was he was told the community would support it. He said he did not support it. He stated his involvement with Cuesta since the 1970s. He said he felt the Board didn't pay attention. The repair issue and talk of new buildings was confusing and not specific. He said to fix what the College already has and not build new buildings. The North County Campus capital campaign was very successful. The College went out and explained it to the community. He expressed his doubt that the bond would work if submitted in November, because of the Paso Robles School District bond. He said the result would be the same.

Trustee Mitchell offered information that in Paso Robles, there would have been a net tax decrease even if both bonds passed because a city assessment was decreasing.

Mr. Daniel Deutsche spoke in favor of the bond. He said early on he received a phone call with two requests from Dr. Rosenwasser. One request was to serve on the Bond Committee – to which he responded “yes”. The second request was to meet for the first meeting at 7:00 a.m. the next day – to which he also responded “yes”. He said everyone on the phone bank was very good. He said there were a large percentage of undecided voters a week before election. He guessed they were a part of the 50,000 that didn't show up to polls. He also expressed his concern over the physical location of Measure G on the ballots. He explained that the measure was placed on the back of ballots. He inquired as to what Cuesta was going to do in November with another bond issue, and could it be on the flip side of ballot with sales tax increases.

Mr. Clint Weirick, Cuesta College student, stated that he had seen this bond measure from beginning to end. He said he looks to the future for a new bond. He said his understanding is that this institution had been working very hard to plan. Last October there was a visit by the Chancellor who stated that lots of things at Cuesta College are out of date and need repair. He said the reason he was bringing this up is that a lot of these projects will be delayed, due to inappropriate matched funding. Not many people understand how these bonds work. He felt that having a local bond measure probably would not pass in June 2008, and that another bond measure should come sooner. Students need more programs. He expressed his frustration with the argument student enrollment is going down – stating there are students now who need better classrooms and equipment. He said he was very glad the Governor's Budget passed, and hoped that proponents of Measure G continued to support the campaign and to work towards whatever amount, with at least the basics getting funded.

Ms. Marilyn Rossa, CCFT President, confirmed that CCFT had endorsed the bond, after great deliberation, with much disagreement, but nonetheless supported Measure G, because every one of us on the Executive Board believe in faculty, students and bonds. She stated the campaign worked with little donations or contribution by faculty, other than calls for people to help phone bank. One or two people responded to that request. Why? She said she believed Bill Berry's sincerity in taking responsibility, but also believes this Board is not listening or paying attention to what is being said. This bond bombed, not just because of low turnout. She said it was partially because of the size of bond. She said the Board should have heard that in the beginning. There were economic factors known in February. The projections were

there 3-4 months before elections.

She said it is business as usual tonight and that Cuesta's sterling reputation has been tarnished by this. She questioned why Foundation money was used in certain ways. The CCFT Executive Board is opposed to running the bond in November. She said the Board should take its time and do it right next time. She advised the Board to listen to the community because they are angry. She said she was asked to be on the original bond committee, but had one class at the only time the Bond committee meetings were held. She said Berry Campaigns never contacted her. She stated she represents the faculty through the union, and questioned why faculty was not part of the loop. She requested the Board listen to Cuesta College and the community. She said she hoped the Board would make a thoughtful decision for a future bond measure.

Trustee Mullen stated the Board was not considering the bond going in November during tonight's meeting.

Dr. Rosenwasser noted a schedule for upcoming bonds in Correspondence Items, and that a special Board meeting would be necessary for a decision to be made on the bond.

Ms. Judy Rittmiller submitted and read her letter before the Board of Trustees. The letter read:

"My name is Judy Rittmiller. I am a Cuesta employee and also a property owner. I am here to tell you why I voted no on Measure G.

First, I felt the bond was asking for too much money. Had the bond been for a lesser amount with specifics on what was to be renovated and repaired, and few or preferably no new buildings, I would have considered the bond more seriously.

Secondly, as a property owner, I had to take a serious look at the bond and what it would cost me. I can't afford \$25 per \$100,000 of assessed property value, not in this day and age of price increases in my water, trash, gas and electricity, plus the high price of gas for my car! Maybe some people can afford the increase in their property tax, but not on my salary! My husband and I are already conserving as much as we can.

Thirdly, we do not need more buildings. Instead, we need to repair and renovate our current buildings and labs. Student and staff safety should come first. Also, Cuesta doesn't have enough custodial staff to properly clean. Who will take care of the new buildings? I remember the days when custodial staff actually had the time to vacuum, dust, and empty the trash. Now all we get is our trash emptied. However, it is not the custodians fault, as I know they work very hard! It's because Cuesta can't/won't hire the additional staff it needs to do the work. My job, as well as other staff on this campus, is to serve students, not spend time dusting and cleaning. Therefore, instead of new buildings, we need to hire more staff to keep our campus in good condition, and make repairs to our labs in order to keep our students safe.

Recently I read an email from Mr. Dean Gilligan, Chair of Citizens Awareness Program (CAP), to Dr. Rosenwasser and the Board of Trustees. CAP offered suggestions when Cuesta considers another bond. I am quoting the following three suggestions listed in Mr. Gilligan's email that I feel are worth the Board's consideration:

- 1) Work with faculty in the development of college curriculum that meets the needs of the community through distance education courses - where potentially very few new buildings are needed.
- 2) Be more inclusive, by listening intently to your staff and faculty and involving them in the priority setting that is necessary for the college – value their expertise and knowledge in also knowing what is best for the college. Cuesta administration needs to respect, appreciate and recognize the collective intelligence of Cuesta’s incredible faculty and classified staff. To do this, the president’s Cabinet needs to move way from the “top down” philosophy of management.
- 3) Listen to the voters. Taxing them is not the answer. Instead, form a true community advisory committee (not just wealthy, retired benefactors), in which the Cuesta administration will not participate. This committee could be made up of citizens representative of the makeup of the County and diverse geographical regions, as well as consider inviting those who have been outspoken opponents of the bond who can provide suggestions and recommendations that are fresh, current and truly reflective of what the community needs. Respect the magnitude of informed voters in our community.

Please take the above CAP recommendations seriously. They are definitely worth consideration. Also, listen carefully to suggestions and feedback given to you at today’s board meeting, along with those you will receive in the future.

I ask that you think seriously before placing another bond measure on the ballot. I believe November is too soon for voters. I feel the Cuesta bond will be lost among the other bond measures and sales tax increases scheduled to be on the November ballot. If we truly wish to succeed in passing Cuesta’s bond, we must take the time to rethink what we truly need, look at the errors that were made, listen to those who voted against the bond, and carefully consider suggestions from faculty and staff along with public input. Thank you.”

Mr. Al Brill introduced himself as a graduate of Los Angeles City College, and in 1960 he registered to vote. He stated this was the first time he had voted against a school bond in his life. He said that when he attended the town hall meeting in Arroyo Grande, the Board disrespected every voter in that meeting. He stated he sees no reasons for discussing the massive failure of the bond, but instead is looking forward to do everything that needs to be done and in solidarity, to focus on a Cuesta College that is better. He commented that the college’s creditability is beyond repair. That we, the taxpayers don’t get it, and that the only solution is to fire Dr. Rosenwasser and Vice President Maduli and bring in new administration. He summarized his suggestions: Step 1- to remove the president and vice president. Step 2 - drop any plans for a South County center for five years or until requested, and to consider utilizing modular structures. Step 3 - review major repairs of the campus. Review, reprioritize, and then whatever is left, line item with footnotes and priorities, for public review. Step 4: There appears to be more wish than need for expansion of the North County Campus. When projects are redone, the District should include the cost, footnotes, priorities, etc., and whatever is done should be done in the light of day with involvement of faculty, staff and community. He finalized his comments to say the pursuit of another bond would be another foolish move on the part of Cuesta College.

Mr. Dave Romero, Mayor of San Luis Obispo, stated his appreciation for Cuesta College's contribution to San Luis Obispo and the entire county. He noted his two daughters graduated from here, and several granddaughters are set to attend. He said that Cuesta College is part of their lives, and that he is an unswerving supporter of this bond, adding that he recognizes the College as a vital part of the community. He said the financial difficulties are understood and shared by fellow officials, and that the college's needs are intertwined by the dilemma of cities in this area discussing their own local revenue measures. The November 2006 ballot was chosen by cities for various reasons: local bond measures and the need by those cities. Such competition would be disadvantageous. At this point, he stated, it is not his place to advise the College not to try for the bond again in November; however if we are concerned about the impact Cuesta may have, consider the long-term success of the College and the cities, keeping the other cities in mind and our shared mission to serve the public.

Mr. Larry Versaw is Mayor of Grover Beach. He thanked the Board for having this meeting and opening it to comments, adding he felt it would serve the Board well to hear comments. He stated his support for what Mayor Romero had said as a majority of what the city mayors were thinking. As a homeowner/taxpayer in the South County, he said, he'd like to share conversations he had. He shared the general response in conversation about the bond was "what are they thinking?" He said "sticker shock" was a bigger factor than we know, adding that most people didn't understand what \$310 million would do. He said most folks wanted specifics on where the money would go. After talking with several folks, he said the Board of Trustees needs to do a better job with this. He added that the idea of bringing any bond measure in November was met with mild insult. Mr. Versaw wanted to underscore what Mayor Romero said by again bringing up the issue of competition for tax dollars, which he feels is vital to cities. He said he would try to help the bond initiative pass, and would not oppose anything, but finds it difficult to support anything that hinders his city. He concluded his comments to say it is tough times for anything on the ballot, and that he knows the Board will keep that in mind when it comes to making a decision.

Mr. John Laferriere read his comments and submitted them for record. They read:

"Good afternoon. My name is John Laferriere and I live in Grover Beach. I was against the bond measure after learning about the details while attending your meeting at the South County Regional Center last February. But, I wasn't against all of it, just maybe 80 to 90% of it. Most of us believe Cuesta has some legitimate needs for bond funding and I'm prepared to pledge conditional support. I'll support a future bond for key "repair" and "modernization" at the SLO Campus but never when it's part of the type of bond you brought before the voters this past June. I think the Trustees should vote this August for a greatly reduced bond measure to appear on this November's ballot, specifically for the portion that no one I've heard has a problem with, namely "repair" and "modernization" of the SLO Campus. And of course, much more detail should be provided in your next attempt. Call this "Phase 1" of your plans. Nothing stops you from coming back in future years for more installments of funding for additional projects, especially after you've demonstrated successful management of taxpayers dollars. As a current critic, I would be more than willing to cut "quite a bit of slack" to a small bond campaign rebuilt from scratch on short notice for this November's Election. But, if the Trustees instead plan to bring back a dressed-up version of Measure G in 2008 with the vast majority of funding allocated towards new land and buildings, particularly at locations other than right here, I believe you will face an even stronger opposition. Plus, you would still have that leaky roof you showed to us several times each evening on TV. Others may warn against attempting

another bond this November due to the expected large dollar amount of state-level bond propositions. This has been an increasing trend in recent elections and it may remain the case for a few years yet to come before a new pattern emerges. So, if you choose to postpone local-level issues when large state-level bonds are in focus, you may need to wait beyond 2008 as well. Also, if you chose to wait beyond this November, I guess all that you're telling us was that these issues weren't that urgent and you have plenty of money to address them in the near-term. Lastly, I for one would be receptive to paying a larger property tax for a shorter period of time for the much smaller bond I have described, say 10 years or less, versus the more than 30 years that was previously planned. I hope you'll consider this option also when you consider the much smaller bond measure many of us had suggested prior to Measure G's defeat. Besides saving the taxpayers a lot of interest, key elements like "repair" and "modernization" shouldn't need such an extended timeline to complete. Thank you for your time."

Ms. Allison Merzon stated that she came to represent the Academic Senate this time. She commented on how easy it is to be critical in hindsight, adding that her remarks are not meant to be disrespectful. She thanked President Rosenwasser for bringing up the faculty voice. She said she listened to Mr. Berry take responsibility, and felt she could not speak for faculty during the summer months. She expressed concerns over whether the College should go out for bond. In summary, she said the silence of faculty speaks loudly between faculty and administration, and that administration doesn't ask for continual input by faculty. She commented that faculty wanted to be more involved, but she felt decisions had already been made, and that they would be told how things would go. She recommended that administration create a sense of goals; perhaps create a faculty oversight committee now, and gather committee input on the bond amount, what would be done, where the money would be spent, with no more summer surprises. She also recommended the College forego plans for the South County, and instead focus on the San Luis Obispo and North County campuses.

Mr. John Fetcho is the President of CCCUE. He said his comments represented the classified members. First, he re-emphasized that CCCUE did endorse the bond, although he said it was difficult to get the entire union's support. He said the union realizes things need to be fixed or refurbished, but was unsure of exactly what the money was going towards. He offered one reason the College did not have staff members to help with the campaign was that they felt were told what was going to happen, instead they would like to be involved in the process. He also said not enough specifics were given on what the money would be used for. He suggested the College get someone to really show refurbishment needs, wait until 2008 to campaign, and not develop a South County campus. He concluded his comments by recommending the College ask for the amount really needed, clarify what the College needs the money for, and solicit opinions from staff.

Mr. Peter Dill, philosophy instructor at Cuesta College, spoke in favor of going out in November. He said the subject of South County presence did not fare well in the campaign, but is important. He explained he has taught at various centers, and clearly sees a need within 2-3 years for a presence in the South County. He suggested forecasting fifteen, or even five years instead of thirty. He expressed his opinion that some residents in South County feel that Cuesta College doesn't need a South County presence because they have Allan Hancock College. AHC just passed a bond to build a campus down there for us to use, but Cuesta needs a daytime presence in South County. He noted one of the things that has increased in South County enrollments is English as a Second Language (ESL). He also added that those seeking certificates for

citizenship do not want to attend night classes. For example, a Chicano woman taking ESL whose husband is working and whose kids are in school, needs local classes during the day. He suggested for remedial work, training in English, etc., the College buy portable modular buildings and develop classrooms. He agreed that it is okay to teach classes in high school at night, but it is not the ideal setting. High school teachers set up at night for the next day, which makes it difficult for Cuesta's instructors to fully utilize the classrooms. He felt the demand for non-credit courses is growing so rapidly and the State is looking at changing funding, which could increase demand for day classes. He asked the Board to think of South County presence in offering day classes as well as night classes.

Mr. Gale Goshow commented how refreshing it was to hear Mr. Berry take responsibility for failure of the bond, but felt there was plenty more to pass around. He said he is a long-term supporter of Cuesta College, so the decision to vote no on the bond came with difficulty. He said he has received many benefits from Cuesta College, as well as AHC. Convenience is an issue, according to Mr. Goshow. He said he heard something about plans for a South County campus and was apprehensive about the need for a South County campus, but no one was interested in his concerns. During this campaign, he stated he received between 10 to 20 pieces of mail regarding the bond. The amount of money spent by the Foundation for these mailings included his donations. He said he found it difficult to ascertain how much was to be designated for the South County. He commented the College emitted a "trust us" attitude – the public did not need to know specifics on spending. He said volunteers that phone banked did not have specific information to give the public. Mr. Goshow says he is still very willing to support a bond for Cuesta College. He states if it would not have included South County plans, he probably would have voted for it. He said he would volunteer to be part of future discussions, or volunteer to work towards getting some money for the SLO Campus, but NCC or South County plans will cause him and other voters to vote "No".

Dr. Patrick Schwab, DSPPS Director, stated he wanted to throw his opinion in and that is that the College should go out in November. If it is two years before Cuesta College goes again, that is a long time to wait for repairs that are needed now. It needs to go out in a fair and honest way, and has to have staff, faculty and administrative solidarity. It also must have a better presence in the media. He concluded by adding he hopes we all analyze it and go out this November.

Dr. Barbara George, Executive Director of Institutional Advancement Emeritus supports the campaign going forward. She worked at Cuesta College for 27 years, is proud of her work as a public servant, and when she worked here she put the faculty and staff against anyone in the world. She wanted to add that she is a part of the responsible people. She said she worked for the bond measure, and wished she had worked more. Her biggest concern continues to be perpetuated bad press. She said if the bond measure moved forward in November, she would work harder. She wanted the Board to know she is a proponent, and will continue her support. She thanked them for going forward at a time when the community needs this bond to pass.

Ms. Delfina Medina-Maloney stated that as a strong community college supporter, it is important to utilize a smart plan. She also wanted the Board to know and for those of us in classified staff, let us talk about grass roots. She said classified staff is ready to put their support toward a well-developed South County campaign. It is coming, and she wanted to let the Board know this is a learning experience for all of us, and we need each and every one of the Board members to continue and go forward together.

Ms. June Stephens, Executive Director of the Foundation/Institutional Advancement commented how hard everyone worked on this campaign and for Cuesta College, and expressed her disappointment that it did not pass. She noted that anyone can sit on the sidelines and say 'could have, would have, and should have'. The Friends of Cuesta College had the facts, and did a good job on the campaign. Whether or not it was understood or heard is another matter. She noted that she had never worked on a campaign that was more prepared. Cuesta College was ready, but the bond measure did not pass for many reasons. She expressed her pride in the citizens that came forward and worked hard. The College listened to the expert, did what he said, and she said she was very proud of what the campaign workers did.

Trustee Mitchell thanked everyone as Board President. She commented that the Board did not listen as well as they should have. This is the forum method that is very beneficial, adding the Board does not place the blame on any one thing. Without hearing from the public, she noted, the College would be second-guessing. In closing her comments, she noted the next item on agenda to be heard tonight is consideration of placing Cuesta in debt for an additional \$8 million.

Trustee Mullen requested a ten-minute break.

BREAK: 6:50 – 7:00 p.m.

Trustee Mullen expressed appreciation for everyone's comments, and that it was nice of Mr. Berry to step up and claim responsibility, saying that 20/20 hindsight might have insured a better outcome. He claimed he was struck by Mr. Weirick's comments that our responsibility is to our students, and the Board had let them down. He also wanted to get a little better understanding on whether the Board would take into consideration the timeline regarding placing the bond on November ballot, and if a special meeting would need to be held

Dr. Rosenwasser responded to say that included in the packet of communications received after packet delivery, the President's Office received from the County Clerk-Recorder a timeline schedule for placing the bond on the November ballot. The deadline to resubmit ballot information is July 25, 2006. Trustee Mullen stated the sooner the Board can be clear on a decision, the better. Dr. Rosenwasser responded the Board would have the option to decide tonight whether to hold another meeting in July.

Trustee Galvan thanked the public for comments, saying it was very enlightening. She expressed regrets not having some of tonight's information prior to the June 6 election. She acknowledged there were people here from North County that would have liked to speak, and invited the public to call her at home anytime, as her phone number is in the phone book. She said she wanted to hear what the community had to say. Listening is one of her qualities, she added.

Trustee Mathiesen declared the meeting to be very interesting, and that he learned a lot tonight. He felt there were lots of things the College should have done in campaigning that they did not, and a few things he still did not understand such as explaining the use of monies. He reviewed discussions that were held at meetings three or four times before the Board decided the bond should go out. He said he thought about the cities going out for their bonds, and pondered whether they used the campaigns to dump money into general funds, or if the monies were specifically designated. He thanked everyone and promised to consider the comments given tonight in the future.

Trustee Kiersch commented that like the other trustees, she had other people come up

and say “sorry the bond didn’t pass”. She reiterated that it was students who were hurt. She expressed her concern about how students are educated. She said if they are going to go out and compete for jobs, they must have the right tools. She said there was a misunderstanding about the South County, and the idea to have a building there to hold day classes. The College does not have any land there, no buildings, and no idea that there would ever be a full campus there. She considered the strategy to plan for what the College would do in thirty years, noting the Board did the best they could. She said a decision had to be made when the Board voted for to place a bond measure on the ballot for June 6 and then could not speak with faculty and staff on campus to help with campaign. She concluded saying it was an interesting experience. She was unsure when the College would go out for a bond again, but she had the names of folks who spoke so the College would know who the next volunteers will be.

Student Trustee Gant said the public comments had been informative, to say the least. As a student, he appreciated hearing the goals for students. He noted his limited involvement in the last campaign, and looks forward to the next campaign. He brought up the art of active listening – to receive response during conversation, and noted how unfortunate that some of the audience left, as the Board had just done a lot of listening. He also suggested getting the students more involved in the campaign, as there is a large constituent mass to get on board who are voters.

Trustee Mitchell stated there was no intention for a “summer surprise” to get information from the public and the College on whether or not to put a bond on the November ballot, but the needs are there. The Board had to have this meeting now. It was important to have this meeting today.

21. ***Refunding of
Certificates of
Participation
(COPS)***
(Attachment L)

Moved: Mathiesen/**Seconded:** Mullen—**Passed** unanimously by those members present to approve the Refunding of Certificates of Participation (COPS), as presented.

Vice President Maduli explained the process for borrowed transfers for 2006-07. Financial Advisor Andy Ach, of Northcross, Hill and Ach, and Legal Counsel Chick Adams of Jones Hall were present to discuss the proposed financial transaction. It was reported that once the College receives all the construction invoices, it needs a cash flow until the State reimburses for the construction project. Concerning the plan for making annual payments on the long-term debt, Vice President Maduli said the Heritage Oaks loan will be completely paid off with the instructional equipment block grant funds this year. The newly acquired energy management system is helping us today in keeping our utilities costs down. In February 2006, Project Oz (purchase of integrated software system—Banner) was approved for \$6 million. The bond measure would have been used to pay off that debt. Reconstruction bids were opened for the Physical Sciences Reconstruction, and the lowest bid was \$1.5 over the provided state funding. This shortfall is another reason the District needs to borrow more money. To implement the Physical Sciences Reconstruction project, the College wants to obtain portable buildings, at an approximate cost of \$500,000, which would house portable chemistry labs and classrooms during the reconstruction. In addition to the shortfall for the Physical Science Reconstruction, the ongoing SLO Campus Library Expansion project needs \$400,000 for a new HVAC unit. The total cost to finish the Library Expansion in December is \$1 million, including the HVAC unit. The College needs \$8.7 million to fund new projects. That means the reserve level would be impacted. This resolution is to reissue a new COP at no more than \$13 million. Vice President Maduli met with Moody’s last Friday and learned they had given us the highest rating, which means the interest rate should be lower.

Mr. Ach informed the Board of the current Interest Rate of 4.77%. The current rate

(1996 = 5.4%) average = 4.6%. Trustee Mathiesen wanted to know how much this district could borrow. Mr. Ach responded that the amount depended on certain cash flow, and a review of the budget at what the market would support for the amount borrowed. Trustee Mathiesen inquired about penalties for pre-payment. Mr. Ach responded that pre-payment penalties are normal. The process would include exclusion of the general obligation bond and pay off COP without penalty. Vice President Maduli stated the information is included in the preliminary statements for this agenda item.

Trustee Mullen requested explanation of the \$13 million debt. Vice President Maduli clarified that \$6 million is designated for Project Oz, \$1.7 million for the Physical Science Reconstruction, \$1 million for the Library Expansion, which totals \$8.7 million, in addition to \$ 2.9 million to refinance, and \$1.9 million for prepayment of interest. The remainder is costs.

Trustee Mullen wondered whether the Physical Sciences Reconstruction was discretionary, and if the Board could reject all bids. Humanities Dean Roanna Bennie commented on the consequences for delay of the Physical Sciences building project. Vice President Maduli added that the labs as they exist today are outdated from the 1960's. Trustee Mullen added if renovations are not done the College would lose the \$7 million in state funding. Trustee Galvan commented that Cuesta was trying to find a way to do something about the out-of-date science labs when she was first elected to the Board. High schools in this county have better classrooms than Cuesta College in many areas.

Mr. Dill inquired as to how much of this cost to repay would come out of the General Fund every year. Vice President Maduli responded to say in the third year, it would go from \$250,000 to over \$500,000 in principal and interest. Mr. Dill asked Vice President Maduli where he saw this ending. Vice President Maudli responded that the recommendation for tonight is to reject bids for the NCC Library/Learning Resources Building. There is no justification to add \$5 to \$6 million for projects. The College will try to get the money back in 2008-09.

Trustee Mathiesen asked what the loan terms are, in years. Mr. Adams replied that repayment would be complete by 2035 (29 years in debt). Vice President Maduli recommended placing some monies in escrow in the budget to pay the debt.

22. ***Agenda Items for
Next Meeting***
(Attachment M)

A preliminary listing of agenda items for the August 2, 2006 meeting was reviewed.

Dr. Rosenwasser said now is the time to discuss the potential for a resolution to place a bond measure on the ballot. Trustee Galvan commented that she thought the Board of Trustees should have a Special Meeting in July with public comments to thoroughly discuss feelings of placing the bond on the November ballot. She noted that another public forum would be helpful.

Ms. Rossa responded to say she thought the point of bringing it to another meeting is the Board's choice; however faculty are gone for the summer. Given the fact the Board wants to talk about it, she felt they should send all faculty a letter to notify them. Ms. Merzon said "ditto".

Trustee Mathiesen said it is difficult for someone who works twelve months a year to stop for two or three months. The Board gets scolded by the faculty union every year. His opinion was that maybe the College should shut down for summer. He said he personally thinks the Board needed more time to get reorganized, and felt because the cost to run the campaign was \$235,000, there needs to be time to plan and give employees ample time to participate.

Trustee Mitchell stated that the campaign started in 2002, and took two years just to get full board support. Trustee Kiersch commented that after listening to public comment, she did not know if there would be enough time to get organized and to start “boots on the ground” work. Trustee Mitchell stated that perhaps the Board of Trustees should have a Special Meeting.

President Rosenwasser noted that the Friends of Cuesta College would be meeting with Mr. Berry tomorrow. The Board would receive their input, and at that time she could ask their group if \$150,000 in campaign funds could be raised for a November campaign.

Trustee Mullen stated he felt it would be premature to go in November 2006 based on tonight’s input and general discussion. He suggested taking comments from today and plan how they can be utilized in a better way to make decisions, adding it would also be premature to go for a bond in November without involving constituents and stakeholders.

Trustee Galvan shared the information that a voted decision could not be made tonight, as it was not on agenda, but felt that some momentum had been started, and didn’t want to lose that momentum. She said she did not want to lose constituency on campus and there is a need to involve campus constituents to keep folks interested, and give people ownership. She repeated her believe that a Special Meeting was needed.

Mr. Dill commented that there are procedural ways to kill the bond measure, and based on a vote for a special meeting or not, that would in essence kill the bond in November. Trustee Mitchell stated she desired feedback, but while school is in session. Ms. French requested a letter to classified staff, as well as faculty, to notify them of special meetings. Trustee Mitchell noted that because of the timeline set by the County Clerk for November ballot submittal, it would be virtually impossible, given all the information received tonight, to meet the deadline.

President Rosenwasser suggested that the Board check their availability for a Special Meeting between now and July 24. Trustee Galvan commented that she did not feel that the campaign was as well organized as it could or should be and wanted to continue dialogue and mend fences. Trustee Mitchell inquired if CCFT, CCCUE and Management Senate could get together to find a date and time to meet in September, so we can maintain momentum.

CONSENT AGENDA

23. **Consent Agenda** **Moved:** Galvan/**Seconded:** Mathiesen—**Passed** unanimously by those members present to approve the Consent Agenda, as presented.

Approval of Minutes (Attachment N) Adopted the Minutes of the Special Closed Meeting of May 26, 2006 and the Regular meeting of June 7, 2006, as presented.

Approval of Warrant Listings Approved Warrant Listings No. 318 through No. 342 in the total amount of \$1,321,840.76, as presented.

Approval of Payroll Orders	Academic Hourly	No. 43	\$116,214.73
	Classified Hourly	No. 44	\$213,474.25
	Academic Monthly	No. 45	\$535,708.48
	Classified Monthly	No. 46	\$995,579.49
		Total	\$2,711,141.11

New Job Description – Management Designation
(Attachment O) Approved the new job description for Director, Workforce and Economic Development Programs, as amended.

New Job Description – Supervisor Designation
(Attachment P) Approved the new job description for Supervisor, South County Centers, as presented.

New Job Description – Supervisor Designation
(Attachment Q) Approved the new job description for Supervisor of Community Education and Recreation, as presented.

Approval of Gifts to the College a. A 1991 Ford Taurus Wagon for use in the Automotive Technology labs, donated by Mr. and Mrs. Chris and Eileen White.

Approval of Declaration of Surplus Equipment
(Attachment R) Declared equipment as surplus and approve of its disposal, as presented.

ADJOURNMENT

24. ***Adjournment*** The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 p.m. Unless otherwise announced, the next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees will be held on August 2, 2006.



Marie E. Rosenwasser, Ph.D.
Superintendent/President